J.

Sharon Gilbert Timm, Esq. Attorney I.D. 77778 Penns Court, Suite 111 350 South Main Street Doylestown, PA 18901 (215) 489-0179

v.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CAROLIN BRELAND, **CIVIL ACTION**

Plaintiff,

No. 02-CV-4401

ATC VANCOM, JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

ORDER

	AND NOW, this	day of	, 2002, ւ	upon consideration
of Plai	ntiff's Motion to Strike Defer	ndant's Re	ply Memorandum or for	Leave to file a
Sur-Re	ply Memorandum and any re	sponse the	ereto, it is hereby ORDE	CRED and
DECR	EED that said Motion is GRA	ANTED.	[Said Reply Memorando	ım is Stricken from
the rec	ord.] [Plaintiff shall file her	Sur-Reply	Memorandum within _	days of this
Order.				
			BY THE COURT	,

Sharon Gilbert Timm, Esq. Attorney I.D. 77778 Penns Court, Suite 111 350 South Main Street Doylestown, PA 18901 (215) 489-0179

V.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CAROLIN BRELAND, **CIVIL ACTION**

Plaintiff,

No. 02-CV-4401

ATC VANCOM, JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE DEFENDANT'S REPLY MEMORANDUM OR FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY MEMORANDUM

AND NOW, this day of October, 2002, comes Plaintiff Carolin Breland, by and through counsel, and respectfully requests this Honorable Court to enter an Order striking Defendant's Reply Memorandum of Law in Support of its Motion to Dismiss for the following reasons:

- On September 23, 2002, Plaintiff's counsel served via first-class mail and filed a response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss or in the Alternative to Transfer Venue.
- 2. On October 4, 2002, Defendant filed and served via first-class mail a Reply Memorandum of Law in Support of its Motion to Dismiss.
- Local Rule 7.1(c) does not authorize such filings of Reply Memorandums 3. without leave of Court.

- 4. Defendant did not request leave of Court to file its Reply Memorandum.
- 5. As an alternative, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable Court for leave to file a Sur-Reply Memorandum.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Carolin Breland respectfully requests this Honorable Court to enter an Order striking Defendant's Reply Memorandum from the record for failure to request leave or to enter an Order permitting Plaintiff to file a Sur-Reply Memorandum.

	Respectfully submitted,
Date:	
	SHARON GILBERT TIMM, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiffs

Sharon Gilbert Timm, Esq. Attorney I.D. 77778 Penns Court, Suite 111 350 South Main Street Doylestown, PA 18901 (215) 489-0179

V.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CAROLIN BRELAND, : CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, :

No. 02-CV-4401

•

ATC VANCOM, : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

PLAINTIFF'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF HER MOTION TO STRIKE DEFENDANT'S REPLY MEMORANDUM FROM THE RECORD OR FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUR-REPLY MEMORANDUM

I. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL STATEMENT

In the interest of brevity, Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing factual allegations contained within her foregoing Motion.

II. DISCUSSION

Local Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1(c) states in part:

- ... Unless the parties have agreed upon a different schedule and such agreement is approved under Local Civil Rule 7.4 and is set forth in the motion, or unless the Court directs otherwise, any party opposing the motion shall serve a brief in opposition, together with such answer or other response which may be appropriate, within fourteen (14) days after service of the motion and supporting brief. The Court may require or permit further briefs if appropriate.
- A. DEFENDANT'S REPLY MEMORANDUM SHOULD BE STRICKEN FROM THE RECORD FOR FAILURE TO

REQUEST LEAVE OF COURT

The rule provides only for a brief in support of a motion and a brief in opposition; leave to file a reply brief must be sought from the court. <u>Beaver v. DISA</u>, 838 F.Supp. 206 215 (E.D. Pa. 1993). Where leave of court of not obtained, or where the court deems a reply inappropriate, there is nothing in the local rules or in Fed.R.Civ.P. 7(b) that would require the court to allow a reply to be filed. <u>Parker v. Carroll</u>, 6 F.Supp.2d 427, 428 (E.D. Pa. 1998). As there is no right to file a reply memorandum without court permission, the Reply Memorandum...is hereby STRICKEN. <u>McCarthy v. Pelino & Lentz</u>, 1995 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 7940 (E.D.Pa. June 6, 1995). Defendants did not request leave to file a reply brief... The reply brief... will therefore be STRICKEN from the record. <u>Larzarz v. Brush Wellman Inc.</u>, 1994 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12146 n.1 (E.D.Pa. Aug. 26, 1994).

Here, Defendant filed its Reply Memorandum without seeking leave of Court.

Defense counsel has no right to file such reply memorandum. Therefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Reply Memorandum be stricken from the record.

B. PLAINTIFF IS GRANTED LEAVE TO FILE A SUR-REPLY MEMORANDUM

It is not unheard of for a sur-reply brief to be filed. <u>Kot v. Hackett</u>, 1993 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10429 (E.D.Pa. July 29, 1993), a sur-reply filed in civil rights case.

Should the court deny Plaintiff's motion to strike Defendant's Reply memorandum, Plaintiff respectfully requests that she be permitted to file a sur-reply memorandum.

III. CONCLUSION

Therefore, for all the foregoing reason	as and authority of law, Plaintiff respectfully
requests this Honorable Court to enter an Ord	er striking Defendant's Reply
Memorandum from the Record or granting Pl	aintiff leave to file a Sur-Reply
Memorandum.	
	Respectfully submitted,
Date:	
	SHARON GILBERT TIMM, ESQ. Attorney for Plaintiff

Sharon Gilbert Timm, Esq. Attorney I.D. 77778 Penns Court, Suite 111 350 South Main Street Dovlestown, PA 18901 (215) 489-0179

vi.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CAROLIN BRELAND, **CIVIL ACTION**

Plaintiff,

No. 02-CV-4401

ATC VANCOM, JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendant.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Sharon Gilbert Timm, Esquire, attorney for Plaintiff, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Reply Memorandum or for Leave to File Sur-Reply Memorandum was sent via prepaid U.S. Mail first class upon the following:

Jonathan F. Bloom, Esquire	James N. Foster, Jr., Esquire
Jason K. Cohen, Esquire	Gregory A. Shoemaker, Esquire
Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young, LLP	McMahon, Berger, Hanna, Linihan,
	Cody & Young, LLP
2600 One Commerce Square	2730 North Ballas Road, Suite 200
Philadelphia, PA 19103-7098	St. Louis, MO 63131-3039

Date:	
	Sharon Gilbert Timm, Esquire
	Attorney for Plaintiff